

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

GUADALUPE PLACENCIA,
as Personal Representative to the Estate of
ADRIAN PLACENCIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. CV 21-187 MV/CG

HIDALGO COUNTY BOARD
OF COMMISSIONERS, et al.,

Defendants.

SCHEDULING ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Rule 16 Scheduling Conference, held on July 22, 2021, and the parties' *Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan*, (Doc. 28), filed July 12, 2021. The Court adopts the *Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan*, (Doc. 28), as an Order of the Court as modified below.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan adopted in compliance with the Civil Justice Reform Act, and pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 473(a)(1), this case is assigned to a 210-day discovery track. Accordingly, the Court sets the following case management deadlines:

- i. Plaintiff to join additional parties and to amend the pleadings by: **August 23, 2021**
- ii. Defendants to join additional parties and to amend the pleadings by: **September 23, 2021**
- iii. Plaintiff to identify in writing any expert witness to be used by Plaintiff at trial and to provide expert reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by: **December 1, 2021**

- iv. All other parties to identify in writing any expert witness to be used by such parties at trial and to provide expert reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by: **January 15, 2022**
- v. Termination date for discovery: **February 17, 2022**
- vi. Motions relating to discovery (including, but not limited to, motions to compel and motions for protective order) to be filed with the Court and served on opposing parties by: **March 9, 2022**
- vii. Pretrial motions, other than discovery motions, shall be filed with the Court and served on the opposing party by¹: **March 21, 2022**
- viii. Final Pretrial Order: Plaintiff to Defendants by: **May 6, 2022**
Defendants to the Court by: **May 20, 2022**

Before moving for an order relating to discovery, the parties may request a conference with the Court in an attempt to resolve the dispute. Service of interrogatories or requests for production shall be considered timely only if the responses are due prior to the deadline. A notice to take deposition shall be considered timely only if the deposition takes place prior to the deadline. The pendency of dispositive motions shall not stay discovery. See D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7 for motion practice requirements and timing of

¹ This deadline applies to motions related to the admissibility of experts or expert testimony that may require a *Daubert* hearing, but otherwise does not apply to motions in limine. The Court will set a motions in limine deadline in a separate order.

responses and replies. This deadline shall not be construed to extend the twenty-day time limit in D.N.M.LR-Civ. 26.6.

Any pretrial motions, other than discovery motions, filed after the above dates shall, in the discretion of the Court, be considered untimely. If documents are attached as exhibits to motions, affidavits or briefs, those parts of the exhibits that counsel want to bring to the attention of the Court must be highlighted in accordance with D.N.M.LR-Civ. 10.6. See D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7 for motion practice requirements and timing of responses and replies.

Counsel are directed that the Pretrial Order will provide that no witnesses except rebuttal witnesses whose testimony cannot be anticipated, will be permitted to testify unless the name of the witness is furnished to the Court and opposing counsel no later than thirty (30) days prior to the time set for trial. Any exceptions thereto must be upon order of the Court for good cause shown.

Discovery shall not be reopened, nor shall case management deadlines be modified, except by an order of the Court upon a showing of good cause. This deadline shall be construed to require that discovery be completed on or before the above date.

The Court further sets the following discovery parameters:

- i. Maximum of 25 Interrogatories by Plaintiff to each Defendant;
- ii. Maximum of 50 Interrogatories by County Defendants to Plaintiff;
- iii. Maximum of 50 Interrogatories by County Defendants to VitalCore Defendants;
- iv. Maximum of 50 Interrogatories by VitalCore Defendants to Plaintiff;
- v. Maximum of 50 Interrogatories by VitalCore Defendants to County Defendants;

- vi. Maximum of 25 Requests for Admission by Plaintiff to each Defendant;
- vii. Maximum of 50 Requests for Admission by County Defendants to Plaintiff;
- viii. Maximum of 50 Requests for Admission by County Defendants to
VitalCore Defendants;
- ix. Maximum of 50 Requests for Admission by VitalCore Defendants to
Plaintiff;
- x. Maximum of 50 Requests for Admission by VitalCore Defendants to
County Defendants;
- xi. Maximum of 25 Requests for Production by Plaintiff to each Defendant;
- xii. Maximum of 50 Requests for Production by County Defendants to Plaintiff;
- xiii. Maximum of 50 Requests for Production by County Defendants to
VitalCore Defendants;
- xiv. Maximum of 50 Requests for Production by VitalCore Defendants to
Plaintiff;
- xv. Maximum of 50 Requests for Production by VitalCore Defendants to
County Defendants;
- xvi. Maximum of 15 depositions by Plaintiff, 15 depositions by County
Defendants, and 15 depositions by VitalCore Defendants; and,
- xvii. Depositions are limited to 4 hours, except depositions of parties and
experts, unless extended by agreement of the parties.

Service of interrogatories or requests for production shall be considered timely only if the responses are due prior to the deadline. A notice to take deposition shall be considered timely only if the deposition takes place prior to the deadline. The pendency of dispositive motions shall not stay discovery.

Parties may not modify case management deadlines on their own. Good cause must be shown and the Court's express, written approval obtained for any modification of the case management deadlines set forth herein. Any requests for additional discovery must be submitted to the Court by motion before the discovery deadline expires.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Carmen E. Garza", written over a horizontal line.

THE HONORABLE CARMEN E. GARZA
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE